

JAN 27 2006

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OFELIA GONZALEZ URIBE; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-72973

Agency Nos. A95-450-219
A95-450-220

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 23, 2006^{**}

Before: T.G. NELSON, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate. *See* 9th Cir. Gen. Ord. 6.4(c); *Barroso v. Gonzales*, 429 F.3d 1195, 1205 (9th Cir. 2005); *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.